Republican Appointed Federal Judges Using Misinformation and Conspiracy Theories To Rule Against Vaccination Rules

Republican-appointed federal judges have been extremely aggressive in moving to stay and enjoin necessary federal vaccination requirements, often <u>using questionable</u>, and at times <u>scientifically disproven points</u> to justify their rulings. The vaccine or testing requirements affecting large employers (OSHA), health care workers (CMS) and federal contractors are all essential to ending the COVID-19 pandemic and saving American lives.

<u>Medical</u> and <u>public health experts</u> agree that lawsuits seeking to overturn vaccination and testing requirements threaten the safety of the American people during the COVID-19 pandemic. Vaccination and testing continue to be safe and effective tools, essential to preventing transmission, illness, and death.

What the Republican-Appointed Federal Judges Are Saying:

Judge Kurt Engelhardt, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, grossly minimizes the severity of the pandemic and OSHA's responsibility to protect workers in his ruling. COVID-19 is the single largest occupational health crisis since OSHA was created and the American Medical Association has determined "workplace transmission has been a major factor in the spread of COVID-19." Yet, Judge Engelhardt doubts whether COVID even qualifies as a "grave danger," despite a death toll of more than 820,000 Americans, with 400,000 new infections each day. By this standard, nothing would qualify as a grave danger. In his ruling against the OSHA test or vaccination rule, Judge Engelhardt states:

"It remains unclear that COVID19—however tragic and devastating the pandemic has been—poses the kind of grave danger..."

Judge Terry Doughty, United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, cited the work of Dr. Peter McCullough, a known conspiracy theorist whose stances are so extreme, his former employer was granted a <u>restraining order</u> because they did not want to be associated with his dangerous, anti-science ideology. In his <u>ruling against the CMS vaccination rule</u>, Judge Doughty references the widely discredited work of McCullough, stating:

"COVID-19 vaccines do not prevent transmission of the disease among the vaccinated or mixed vaccinated/unvaccinated populations, and that mandatory COVID-19 vaccines for hospitals do not increase safety for employees or hospital patients."

Judge Matthew Schelp, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, was the <u>first to rule against the CMS vaccination rule</u>, claiming there was not enough evidence to require the vaccination of health care workers. Despite CMS clearly laying out how vaccinations reduce

COVID-19 transmission, Judge Schelp misrepresented CMS's regulatory filing and accepted medical facts, stating:

"The effectiveness of the vaccine to prevent disease transmission by those vaccinated is not currently known."

Judge Barbara Lagoa, United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, speculated that uncertainty around new variants was an adequate justification for staying vaccine rules in a <u>dissent from a majority opinion</u> declining to block the CMS vaccination requirement, despite all studies showing that vaccinations provide substantial protection against severe disease and death. Judge Lagoa suggests:

"There are 'major uncertainties' as to 'the future course of the pandemic, including but not limited to vaccine effectiveness in preventing "breakthrough" disease transmission from those vaccinated, the long-term effectiveness of vaccination..."